

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR MI22-356 В KEETH 09/20/95 08/530,661 EXAMINER B5M1/1223 WELLS, ST. JOHN ROBERTS, GREGORY PAPER NUMBER & MATKIN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 2503 SPOKANE WA 99204-0317 DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
 Description of PTO-152. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. 1. Claims 1-27 are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims_____ 3. Claims 5. Claims 6. Claims [-27 are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filled with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _______. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 are __lacceptable; __l not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). ... Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _______ has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner: disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ______, has been ___approved; ___disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. ______; filed on _____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial Number: 08/530,661 -2-

Art Unit: 2503

Claims 1-27 are pending in this application.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species (see MPEP § 808.01(a) and § 809.02(a)) of the claimed invention:

I. a 64M semiconductor memory device;

II. a 16M semiconductor memory device; and

III. a 4M semiconductor memory device.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 22 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Serial Number: 08/530,661 -3-

Art Unit: 2503

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Kelley whose telephone number is (703) 305-3789.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

N. Kelley December 19, 1996